$$x = 1$$

let x = 1 in ...

x(1)

!x(1)

x.set(1)

Programming Language Theory

Featherweight Java

Ralf Lämmel

This lecture is based on David Walker's lecture: Computer Science 441, Programming Languages, Princeton University

Overview

- Featherweight Java (FJ):
 - a minimal Java-like language;
 - models inheritance and subtyping;
 - immutable objects: no mutation of fields;
 - trivialized core language.

The abstract syntax of FJ is given by the following grammar:

```
Classes C ::= class c extends c' {c f; k d} 

Constructors k ::= c (c x) {super (x); this. f=x;} 

Methods d ::= c m (c x) {return e;} 

Types \tau ::= c 

Expressions e ::= x \mid e. f \mid e. m (e) 

\mid \text{new } c (e) \mid (c) e
```

Underlining indicates "one or more".

If \underline{e} appears in an inference rule and e_i does too, there is an implicit understanding that e_i is one of the e's in \underline{e} . And similarly with other underlined constructs.

Classes in FJ have the form:

class
$$c$$
 extends c' $\{\underline{c}\underline{f}$; $k\underline{d}\}$

• Class c is a sub-class of class c'.

 \bullet Constructor k for instances of c.

 \bullet Fields cf.

• Methods \underline{d} .

Constructor expressions have the form

$$c(\underline{c'x'},\underline{cx})$$
 {super($\underline{x'}$); this. $f=x$;}

 Arguments correspond to super-class fields and sub-class fields.

Initializes super-class.

• Initializes sub-class.

Methods have the form

$$cm(\underline{cx})$$
 {return e ;}

ullet Result class c.

• Argument class(es) \underline{c} .

ullet Binds \underline{x} and this in e.

Minimal set of expressions:

• Field selection: e.f.

• Message send: $e.m(\underline{e})$.

• Instantiation: new c(e).

• Cast: (c) e.

FJ Example

```
class Pt extends Object {
  int x;
  int y;
 Pt (int x, int y) {
     super(); this.x = x; this.y = y;
  int getx () { return this.x; }
  int gety () { return this.y; }
```

FJ Example

```
class CPt extends Pt {
  color c;
  CPt (int x, int y, color c) {
    super(x,y);
    this.c = c;
  }
  color getc () { return this.c; }
}
```

Class Tables and Programs

A class table T is a finite function assigning classes to class names.

A **program** is a pair (T, e) consisting of

• A class table T.

• An expression *e*.

Judgement forms:

$\tau <: \tau'$
$c \leq c'$
$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$
d ok in c
cok
Tok
fields(c) = c f
$type(m,c) = \underline{c} \rightarrow c$

subtyping subclassing expression typing well-formed method well-formed class well-formed class table field lookup method type

Variables:

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

• Must be declared, as usual.

Introduced within method bodies.

Field selection:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 : c_0 \quad \text{fields}(c_0) = \underline{c} \, f}{\Gamma \vdash e_0 \cdot f_i : c_i}$$

• Field must be present.

Type is specified in the class.

Message send:

$$\Gamma \vdash e_0 : c_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash \underline{e} : \underline{c}
\text{type}(m, c_0) = \underline{c'} \rightarrow c \quad \underline{c} <: \underline{c'}
\Gamma \vdash e_0 \cdot m(\underline{e}) : c$$

- Method must be present.
- Argument types must be subtypes of parameters.

Instantiation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \underline{e} : \underline{c''} \quad \underline{c''} <: \underline{c'} \quad \text{fields}(c) = \underline{c' f}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{new} \, c(\underline{e}) : c}$$

• Initializers must have subtypes of fields.

Casting:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 : d}{\Gamma \vdash (c) e_0 : c}$$

- All casts are statically acceptable!
- Could try to detect casts that are guaranteed to fail at run-time.

Subclassing

Sub-class relation is implicitly relative to a class table.

$$\frac{T(c) = \operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c' \{ \underline{\dots}; \ \underline{\dots} \}}{c \triangleleft c'}$$

Reflexivity, transitivity of sub-classing:

$$\frac{(T(c) \ defined)}{c \le c} \qquad \frac{c \le c' \quad c' \le c''}{c \le c''}$$

Sub-classing only by explicit declaration!

Subtyping

Subtyping relation: $\tau <: \tau'$.

$$\frac{\tau <: \tau' \quad \tau' <: \tau''}{\tau <: \tau''}$$

$$\frac{c \leq c'}{c <: c'}$$

Subtyping is determined **solely** by subclassing.

Class Formation

Well-formed classes:

$$k = c(\underline{c' \, x'}, \underline{c \, x}) \, \{ \operatorname{super}(\underline{x'}) \, ; \, \underline{\operatorname{this}.f=x} \, ; \}$$

$$\operatorname{fields}(c') = \underline{c' \, f'} \quad d_i \, \operatorname{ok} \operatorname{in} c$$

$$\operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c' \, \{ \underline{c \, f} \, ; \, k \, \underline{d} \} \operatorname{ok}$$

- Constructor has arguments for each superand sub-class field.
- Constructor initializes super-class before subclass.
- Sub-class methods must be well-formed relative to the super-class.

Class Formation

Method overriding, relative to a class:

$$T(c) = \operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c' \{ \underline{\dots}; \dots \underline{\dots} \}$$

$$\operatorname{type}(m,c') = \underline{c} \to c_0 \quad \underline{x:c}, \operatorname{this}: c \vdash e_0: c'_0 \quad c'_0 <: c_0$$

$$c_0 m(\underline{c} \underline{x}) \{ \operatorname{return} e_0; \} \operatorname{okin} c$$

- Sub-class method must return a subtype of the super-class method's result type.
- Argument types of the sub-class method must be exactly the same as those for the super-class.
- Need another case to cover method extension.

Program Formation

A class table is well-formed iff all of its classes are well-formed:

$$\frac{\forall c \in \mathsf{dom}(T) \ T(c) \, \mathsf{ok}}{T \, \mathsf{ok}}$$

A program is well-formed iff its class table is well-formed and the expression is well-formed:

$$\frac{T \text{ ok } \emptyset \vdash e : \tau}{(T, e) \text{ ok}}$$

Method Typing

The type of a method is defined as follows:

$$T(c) = \operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c'\{\underline{\dots}; \underline{n}\}$$
 $d_i = c_i m(\underline{c_i x}) \{\operatorname{return} e;\}$
 $\operatorname{type}(m_i, c) = \underline{c_i} \to c_i$

$$T(c) = \operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c' \{ \underline{\dots}; \underline{n} \leq \underline{d} \}$$
 $m \notin \underline{d} \quad \operatorname{type}(m_i, c') = \underline{c_i} \rightarrow c_i$
 $\operatorname{type}(m, c) = \underline{c_i} \rightarrow c_i$

Transitions: $e \mapsto_T e'$.

Transitions are indexed by a (well-formed) class table!

• Dynamic dispatch.

• Downcasting.

We omit explicit mention of T in what follows.

Object values have the form

$$new c(\underline{e'}, \underline{e})$$

where

- $\underline{e'}$ are the values of the super-class fields. and \underline{e} are the values of the sub-class fields.
- c indicates the "true" (dynamic) class of the instance.

Use this judgement to affirm an expression is a value:

$$new c(\underline{e'}, \underline{e})$$
 value

Rules

$$\frac{e_i' \text{ value}}{\text{new Object value}} \frac{e_i' \text{ value}}{\text{new } c(\underline{e'}, \underline{e}) \text{ value}}$$

Field selection:

$$\frac{\text{fields}(c) = \underline{c' f', c f} \quad \underline{e'} \text{ value} \quad \underline{e} \text{ value}}{\text{new } c(\underline{e'}, \underline{e}) \cdot f'_i \mapsto e'_i}$$

$$\text{fields}(c) = \underline{c' f', c f} \quad \underline{e'} \text{ value} \quad \underline{e} \text{ value}$$

$$\frac{\text{fields}(c) = \underline{c'\,f', c\,f} \quad \underline{e'} \text{ value} \quad \underline{e} \text{ value}}{\text{new } c(\underline{e'}, \underline{e}) \cdot f_i \mapsto e_i}$$

- Fields in sub-class must be disjoint from those in super-class.
- Selects appropriate field based on name.

Message send:

$$\frac{\operatorname{body}(m,c)=\underline{x}\to e_0\quad \underline{e} \ \operatorname{value}\quad \underline{e'} \ \operatorname{value}}{\operatorname{new}\, c(\underline{e}) \cdot m(\underline{e'}) \mapsto \{\underline{e'}/\underline{x}\}\{\operatorname{new}\, c(\underline{e})/\operatorname{this}\}e_0}$$

• The identifier this stands for the object itself.

Cast:

$$\frac{c \leq c' \quad \underline{e} \text{ value}}{(c') \text{ new } c(\underline{e}) \mapsto \text{new } c(\underline{e})}$$

• No transition (stuck) if c is not a sub-class of c'!

 Sh/could introduce error transitions for cast failure.

Search rules (CBV):

$$\frac{e_0 \mapsto e_0'}{e_0.f \mapsto e_0'.f}$$

$$\frac{e_0 \mapsto e_0'}{e_0.m(\underline{e}) \mapsto e_0'.m(\underline{e})}$$

$$\frac{e_0 \text{ value } \underline{e} \mapsto \underline{e'}}{e_0.m(\underline{e}) \mapsto e_0.m(\underline{e'})}$$

Search rules (CBV), cont'd:

$$\frac{\underline{e} \mapsto \underline{e'}}{\text{new } c(\underline{e}) \mapsto \text{new } c(\underline{e'})}$$

$$\frac{e_0 \mapsto e_0'}{(c) \ e_0 \mapsto (c) \ e_0'}$$

Dynamic dispatch:

$$T(c) = \operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c' \{ \underline{\dots}; \dots \underline{d} \}$$
 $d_i = c_i m(\underline{c_i x}) \{ \operatorname{return} e; \}$
 $\operatorname{body}(m_i, c) = \underline{x} \to e$
 $T(c) = \operatorname{class} c \operatorname{extends} c' \{ \underline{\dots}; \dots \underline{d} \}$
 $m \notin \underline{d} \quad \operatorname{body}(m, c') = x \to e$
 $\operatorname{body}(m, c) = x \to e$

- Climbs the class hierarchy searching for the method.
- Static semantics ensures that the method must exist!

Type safety = Preservation+ Progress

Theorem 1 (Preservation)

Assume that T is a well-formed class table. If $e: \tau$ and $e \mapsto e'$, then $e': \tau'$ for some $\tau' \lt : \tau$.

- Proved by induction on transition relation.
- Type may get "smaller" during execution due to casting!

Lemma 2 (Canonical Forms)

If e: c and e value, then $e = \text{new } d(\underline{e_0})$ with $d \leq c$ and e_0 value.

- Values of class type are objects (instances).
- The **dynamic** class of an object may be lower in the subtype hierarchy than the **static** class.

Theorem 3 (Progress)

Assume that T is a well-formed class table. If $e: \tau$ then either

- 1. v value, or
- 2. e has the form (c) new $d(\underline{e_0})$ with e_0 value and $d \not \supseteq c$, or
- 3. there exists e' such that $e \mapsto e'$.

Comments on the progress theorem:

- Well-typed programs can get stuck! But only because of a cast
- Precludes "message not understood" error.
- Proof is by induction on typing.



A more flexible static semantics for override:

- Subclass result type is a **subtype** of the superclass result type.
- Subclass argument types are supertypes of the corresponding superclass argument types.

Java adds arrays and covariant array subtyping:

$$\frac{\tau \mathrel{<:} \tau'}{\tau \; [\;] \mathrel{<:} \tau' \; [\;]}$$

What effect does this have?

Java adds array covariance:

$$\frac{\tau <: \tau'}{\tau [] <: \tau' []}$$

- Perfectly OK for FJ, which does not support mutation and assignment.
- With assignment, might store a supertype value in an array of the subtype. Subsequent retrieval at subtype is unsound.
- Java inserts a **per-assignment** run-time check and exception raise to ensure safety.

Static fields:

- Must be initialized as part of the class definition (not by the constructor).
- In what order are initializers to be evaluated? Could require initialization to a constant.

Static methods:

- Essentially just recursive functions.
- No overriding.
- Static dispatch to the class, not the instance.

Final methods:

• Preclude override in a sub-class.

Final fields:

• Sensible only in the presence of mutation!

Abstract methods:

- Some methods are undefined (but are declared).
- Cannot form an instance if any method is abstract.